1997), has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to Count 3 of the three-count Indictment filed August 10, 2016. After cautioning and examining KRISSTOPHER SEGURA-JATIP under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowledgeable and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that KRISSTOPHER SEGURA-JATIP be adjudged guilty of Conspiracy to Commit Access Device Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)(1) and (b)(2), and have sentence imposed accordingly. After being found guilty of the offense by the district judge,

	= 100 3010 man to carrothery in custody and should be ordered to remain in custody.	
		The Government does not oppose release. The defendant has been compliant with the current conditions of release. I find by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).
		The Government opposes release. The defendant has not been compliant with the conditions of release. If the Court accepts this recommendation, this matter should be set for hearing upon motion of the Government.
	The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) unless (1)(a) the Court finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or (b) the Government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed, or (c) exceptional circumstances are clearly shown under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained, and (2) the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence	

January 31, 2017 Date:

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE

that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released.

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).